

September 11, 2018

**Order of 25 May 2016 setting the national framework for training and  
the procedures for issuing the national PhD diploma**

NOR: MENS1611139A

Consolidated version as of September 11, 2018

The Minister of National Education, Higher Education and Research

Regarding the education code, in particular its articles L. 612-7L. 613-3 to L. 613-5L. 718-2, D. 613-1 to D. 613-7, D. 613 -11 and D. 613-17 to D. 613-25;

Regarding the research code, in particular its articles L. 412-1 and L. 412-2;

Considering article L. 812-7 of the rural and maritime fishing code;

Considering Decree No. 94-921 of October 24, 1994 establishing the Bibliographic Agency for Higher Education;

Regarding the Decree No. 99-318 of April 20, 1999, establishing the National Computer data base Center for Higher Education;

Considering Decree No. 2009-464 of April 23, 2009 relating to contractual doctoral students from public higher education or research institutions;

Regarding the opinion of the National Council for Higher Education and Research dated April 18, 2016,

ORDER:

**Article 1:**

Doctoral training is a type of training through disciplinary research and professional research experience. This leads to the production of new knowledge.

It includes personal research work carried out by the doctoral student. It is supplemented by additional training courses validated by the doctoral school. It is a work of scientific, economic, social, technological or cultural interest. It is sanctioned by the issuance of the national doctoral diploma. The diploma, issued by an approved public higher education establishment, confers on it the name of the PhD student and the title of the final manuscript.

The doctorate can be obtained as part of initial training and lifelong learning. The specific skills acquired during this training make it possible to exercise a professional activity at the end of the doctorate in all fields of activity, both in the public and private sectors.

Doctoral training is organized within doctoral schools.

As part of the implementation of the site's scientific policy, a doctoral school can be created in order to organize doctoral policy at this level, to contribute to its visibility and pooling the activities of doctoral schools. In this case, one or more missions of the doctoral schools, as defined in article 3 of this decree, after agreement of each doctoral school, are transferred to the doctoral college to which these doctoral schools are associated. The modalities of operation of the latter are set by the establishments to which the doctoral schools, members of this college, belong.

When the research work is carried out by the PhD student within the framework of a laboratory or a research team attached to the doctoral school in which he is registered and, for the complementary part, in an organization of the socio-economic world or cultural, not involved in the doctoral school, the conditions for alternating periods of work and those of research are subject of an agreement.

This agreement provides for training, material and educational support and certification procedures, in compliance with the quality requirements required by this order. It is signed by the PhD student, the president or the director of the institution where the doctoral student is registered, and the manager of the company or organization partner of the work-study program.

## **Title I: DOCTORAL SCHOOLS**

### **Chapter I: Principles**

#### **Article 2**

Under the responsibility of approved institutions, doctoral colleges or doctoral schools organize training courses for PhD students and prepare them for their professional careers at the end of the doctoral training. They gather research units and teams from one or more establishments.

A research team is attached to a single doctoral school. However, exceptionally, a research team belonging to a doctoral school may be attached to several doctoral schools.

The perimeter of doctoral schools considers the extent of the groupings provided for in Article L. 718-2 of the Education Code. A doctoral school can appropriate or associate research units or teams from institutions that do not belong to the group, after consultation with the academic council of the community of universities or institutions, or grouping member establishments.

#### **Article 3**

Doctoral schools:

1 ° Implement an admission policy for PhD students, based on explicit and public criteria, inform students of the access conditions, skills required, funding likely to be obtained, nature, quality and rate of professional activity after obtaining a PhD degree. They participate in the funding of research, offer its allocation in order to allow PhD students to prepare and defend their thesis in the best possible conditions;

- 2 ° Organize scientific exchanges between PhD students and with the scientific community; offer PhD students training activities promoting interdisciplinarity and the acquisition of a broader scientific culture including knowledge of the international research framework;
- 3 ° Ensure that each PhD student receives a training course in research ethics and scientific integrity;
- 4 ° Ensure a quality approach to training, in particular by setting up individual monitoring committees for each PhD student and by offering specific training or support to the doctoral student's supervisors;
- 5 ° Define and implement support mechanisms for the pursuit of professional careers after obtaining a PhD degree in the public and private sectors and organize, in conjunction with the services of the institutions concerned, the monitoring of professional career paths for PhD graduates;
- 6 ° Contribute to European and international openness, within the framework of cooperation actions carried out with higher education establishments or foreign research centers;
- 7 ° Formulate an opinion on requests for the attachment of research units or teams.

#### **Article 4**

Doctoral schools put in place specific mechanisms to organize an evaluation of the courses and training activities that they offer, in particular by means of regular surveys for PhD students. This evaluation is organized in accordance with the provisions of the persons in concern.

As part of the course's continuous improvement process, the results of the evaluations are the subject of presentations and debates within the doctoral school council. They are sent to the research committee of the academic council or to the body that replaces it.

#### **Article 5**

The accreditation decree of a public higher education establishment authorizes to deliver the diploma to the PhD graduate in the specialties concerned, alone or jointly. This same decree mentions, after periodic evaluation of each doctoral school, carried out or validated by the High Council for the evaluation of research and higher education, the list of doctoral schools authorized to welcome PhD students for their doctoral training, as well as for the discipline field (s) concerned.

Higher education establishments, public training or research organizations and research foundations can participate in a doctoral school by hosting PhD students from this school in research units or teams recognized at the end of their studies. An evaluation carried out by the High Council for the Evaluation of Research and Higher Education or by other bodies which validate the procedures. This participation is subject to the approval of the research committee of the academic council of the institution to which the doctoral school is attached or of the procedure in progress, after consultation of the doctoral school council and on the proposal of its director.

The accreditation request requires, if applicable, the terms of cooperation between all public higher education and research institutions contributing to the doctoral school, as defined by one or more agreements, as well as the list of teams and research units belonging to this doctoral school.

In order to guarantee the widest possible knowledge of the doctoral training offer, a national directory of doctoral schools is updated annually by the ministers in charge of higher education and research.

## **Chapter II: Organization**

### **Article 6**

The doctoral school is headed by a director assisted by a council.

The director of the doctoral school is chosen from among its members authorized to supervise research, among professors and similar staff within the meaning of article 6 of decree n ° 92-70 of January 16, 1992 relating to the National Council of Universities and article 5 of decree n ° 87-31 of January 20, 1987 relating to the National Council of Universities of Medical, Dental and Pharmaceutical Disciplines, or among teachers of equivalent rank not coming under the Ministry in charge of Higher Education, or among staff of higher education institutions, public research organizations and research foundations, authorized to supervise research. He is appointed for the duration of the accreditation. His mandate can be renewed once.

When a doctoral school comes under a single establishment, the director of the doctoral school is appointed by the head of the establishment after consulting the research commission of the academic council, or the body that takes its place, and of the doctoral school council.

When a doctoral school comes under several establishments, the heads of the establishments jointly appoint the director under the conditions defined by the agreement which binds them, after consulting the research commissions of the academic councils, or the bodies which take their place, in the institutions concerned, and the doctoral school council.

### **Article 7**

The director of the doctoral school implements the doctoral school's action program, and presents an annual activity report to the research commission of the academic council, or to the body which takes its place in the establishments concerned.

### **Article 8**

Each head of a doctoral school proposes to the research committee of the academic council or to the body that replaces him the allocation of the institution's own funds that can be allocated to PhD students enrolled in the institution. Each year, the director of the doctoral school presents the list of doctoral scholarship holders to the doctoral school council and informs the research commission of the academic council, or of the body that replaces them, in the establishments concerned.

### **Article 9**

- Modified by decree of July 1, 2016 - art. 1

The doctoral school council adopts the doctoral school's action program. It manages, through its deliberations, the affairs of the doctoral school.

The council is seated by twelve to twenty-six members. Sixty percent of its members are representatives of the institutions, units or research teams concerned, including at least two staff representatives or technicians in engineering and administration.

It is completed, contributing 20% of the total members of the council, shall be rounded if necessary to the lower unit, by PhD students elected among and by PhD students registered at the doctoral school; and for the rest, on the proposal of the members of the doctoral school council, by members outside the doctoral school chosen among qualified personalities, in the scientific and socio-economic fields concerned. Its composition must allow a balanced representation of women and men. The rules relating to the election and appointment of directors are defined in accordance with the procedures adopted by the board of directors of the establishment or establishments concerned by the accreditation.

## **Title II: DOCTORAT**

### **Article 10**

The doctorate is prepared in a doctoral school under the responsibility of accredited institutions, within a unit or a recognized research team following an assessment by the High Council for the Evaluation of Research and higher education or by other bodies whose procedures are similar to validation, and under the responsibility of a thesis director attached to the same doctoral school, or as part of a co-direction as mentioned in article 16 of this decree.

The doctorate can be prepared within an emerged research unit or team, on a proposal from the institution or institutions concerned within the framework of their scientific policy, on the basis of an evaluation carried out for this purpose. The research team concerned is attached to a doctoral school, after consultation with the council of this school, on the proposal of the head of the establishment.

### **Article 11**

Enrollment in the first year of PhD studies is pronounced by the head of the establishment on the proposal of the director of the doctoral school, after consultation with the thesis director and the director of the research unit on the quality of the project and the conditions for its implementation. It is worth admission to training provided by the doctoral school.

To be registered for a doctorate, the candidate must hold a national master's degree or another diploma similar to a master's degree, at the end of a higher education teaching program or professional experience establishing his aptitude for research.

If this qualification condition is not met, the head of the establishment may, by derogation and on a proposal from the doctoral school council, enroll for a PhD degree those who have completed studies at an equivalent level or who have validated the experience acquired for in article L. 613-5 of the education code. The list of beneficiaries of these measures is presented each year to the doctoral school council and to the research commission of the academic council, or to the body that takes their place in the institution concerned.

Registration is renewed at the beginning of each academic year by the head of the establishment, on the proposal of the director of the doctoral school, after consultation of the thesis's director and, from

the third registration, of the individual monitoring committee of the PhD student. In case of non-renewal envisaged, after consulting the thesis director, the reasoned opinion is notified to the PhD student by the director of the doctoral school. A second opinion may be requested by the PhD student from the research committee of the academic council or the body that takes its place, in the institution concerned. The decision of non-renewal is taken by the head of the establishment, who notifies the PhD student thereof.

During the annual re-enrollment, the director of the doctoral school checks that the scientific, material and financial conditions are met to guarantee the continuity of the doctoral student's research work and the preparation of the thesis.

During his research work, the PhD student is integrated into a research unit which welcomes him and which contributes to his support during his training. His work is valued in this context.

## **Article 12**

Under the responsibility of approved institutions, the doctoral school sets the conditions for monitoring and supervising PhD students through a doctoral charter, the terms of which it defines. This charter provides in particular the procedures for remedy to mediation in case of a conflict between the PhD student and his thesis supervisor and the doctoral student's commitment to respond to any request for information relating to his integration and his professional career at the end of the process of the PhD degree. This charter is approved by the director of the doctoral school, the director of the host research unit or team, and the thesis director (s). It is signed by the PhD student and the thesis director at the first enrollment.

In application of this charter, a training agreement, signed by the thesis director and by the PhD student, indicates the names of the institution of enrollment of the PhD student, the doctoral school and the research unit or team; it also mentions the name (s) of the thesis director (s), the director of the research unit or team, the PhD student as well as the rights and duties of the parties involved.

This training agreement mentions the subject of the PhD degree and the specialty of the diploma, the where applicable, the financing conditions of the PhD student, as well as the following elements:

- 1 ° If the doctorate is carried out on a full-time or part-time basis; in this case, the professional status of the PhD student is specified;
- 2 ° The schedule of the research project;
- 3 ° The methods of supervision, monitoring of training and advancement of the PhD students research;
- 4 ° The material conditions for carrying out the research project and, if the case arises, the specific security conditions;
- 5 ° The methods of integration into the research unit or team;
- 6 ° The professional project of the PhD student;
- 7 ° The individual training course in connection with this personal project;
- 8 ° The objectives of promoting the research work of the PhD student: dissemination, publication and confidentiality, right to intellectual property depending on the field of the PhD program.

The doctoral training agreement considers the other existing agreements. It can be modified as needed, during re-registrations by an agreement signed between the parties. The registration institution is the guarantor of its implementation.

### **Article 13**

An individual PhD student monitoring committee ensures the good proceedings of the curriculum based on the doctoral charter and the training agreement. During an interview with the PhD student, the committee assess the conditions of his training and the progress of his research. They make recommendations and sends a report of the interview to the director of the doctoral school, the PhD student and the thesis director.

In particular, they pay attention to prevent any form of conflict, discrimination or harassment.

The terms of the composition, the organization and the functioning of this committee are set by the doctoral school council. The members of this committee do not participate in the direction of the PhD student's work.

### **Article 14**

Preparation for a PhD degree, within the doctoral school, is generally carried out over three years in full-time equivalent devoted to research. In other cases, the duration of preparation for a PhD degree may take place for a maximum period of six years.

The duration of a PhD training for a doctoral student with a disability may be extended by the head of the establishment upon a reasoned request from the PhD student.

If the doctoral student has benefited from maternity leave, paternity leave, parental or adoption leave, parental leave, sick leave of more than four consecutive months or a leave of at least two months following a work accident, the period of the PhD preparation is extended if the interested party requests it.

Annual extensions may be granted by derogation made by the head of the establishment, on the proposal of the thesis director and after consultation with the monitoring committee and the director of the doctoral school, upon a reasoned request from the PhD student. The list of beneficiaries of these exemptions is presented each year to the doctoral school council and sent to the research commission of the academic council or to the body that takes its place in the institutions concerned.

Exceptionally, at the motivated request of the PhD student, a non-breaking period of a maximum duration of one year can only occur once, by the decision of the head of the establishment where the doctoral student is enrolled, after agreement of the employer, if applicable, and opinion of the thesis director and the director of the doctoral school. During this period, the PhD student temporarily suspends his training and his research work, but may remain registered, if desired, in their establishment. This period is not counted in the duration of the thesis. The establishment guarantees PhD students who suspend their studies to re-enroll in their doctoral training at the end of the gap period.

### **Article 15**

During their studies, doctoral students follow training programs defined in 2 ° of article 3 of this decree.

Pedagogical training is provided when it contributes to the activity or professional project of the PhD student.

A PhD student's portfolio including an individualized list of all the PhD student's activities during his research period, including teaching, dissemination of scientific culture or technology transfer, and valuing the skills he has developed during the preparation of the doctorate, that is achieved. It is updated regularly by the doctoral student.

## **Article 16**

- Modified by Decree of July 1, 2016 - art. 1

The PHD student is placed under the control and responsibility of a thesis director. Scientific direction of the doctoral project can be offered even by a co-supervisor. When the co-supervision is provided by a person from the socio-economic world who does not belong to academia, the number of co-directors can be increased to two co-supervisors instead of one.

The functions of thesis director or co-thesis supervisor which may be exercised:

1. By professors and similar staff assimilated to the meaning of article 6 of decree n ° 92-70 relating to the National Council of Universities and article 5 of decree n ° 87-31 for health disciplines, or by teachers of equivalent rank that do not come under the supervision of the Ministry of Higher Education, by the staff of higher education establishments, public research organizations and research foundations, holders of a research supervision authorization;
2. By other personalities, holders of a doctorate, chosen for their scientific competence by the head of the establishment, on the proposal of the director of the doctoral school and after opinion of the research commission of the academic council or of the instance taking place in the enrollment establishment. Thesis director can also be provided in the form of co-director established by an agreement between one or two thesis directors who meet the above conditions and he/she must be a person from the socio-economic world recognized for his skills in the field. The co-direction proposal is submitted to the decision of the head of the approved establishment, on the proposal of the director of the doctoral school. In this case, PhD students are placed under the joint responsibility of the thesis co-directors. The doctoral school council sets the maximum number of PhD students supervised by a thesis director, considering the constraints related to disciplines, in particular rare disciplines.

## **Article 17**

The authorization to defend a thesis is granted by the head of the establishment, after consulting the director of the doctoral school, on the proposal of the thesis director.

The work of the PhD student is examined beforehand by at least two reviewers appointed by the head of the establishment, authorized to supervise PhD students or belonging to one of the categories mentioned in 1 ° and 2 ° of article 16 of this decree, on the proposal of the director of the doctoral school, after consulting the thesis director.

In the case of a thesis that involves people from the socio-economic world who do not belong to academia, a third reviewer, recognized for his skills in the field, may be appointed on the proposal of the director of the doctoral school, after consulting the thesis director.

Unless the disciplinary field or the content of the work allow it, the two reporters must be outsiders to the doctoral school and the PhD student's establishment. They may belong to foreign higher education or research institutions or to other foreign organizations.

Rapporteurs must not be involved in the work of the PhD student.

The reviewers must declare, at least fourteen days before the date scheduled for the oral defense, their opinion by means of written reports; on this basis, the head of the establishment authorizes the oral defense. These reports are communicated to the jury and to the PhD student before the defense.

## **Article 18**

The thesis jury is appointed by the head of the establishment after consulting the director of the doctoral school and the thesis director. The number of jury members is between four and eight. At least half of the jury are made up of French or foreign personalities, external to the doctoral school and the PhD student's enrollment establishment and chosen for their scientific or professional competence in the field of research concerned, subject to the provisions relating to the international co-supervision of theses defined in Title III of this decree.

Its composition must allow a balanced representation of women and men. At least half of the jury must be composed of professors or similar within the meaning of article 6 of decree n ° 92-70 relating to the National Council of Universities and article 5 of Decree No. 87-31 for health disciplines, or teachers of equivalent rank who do not come under the Ministry of Higher Education.

The members of the jury appoint from among themselves a chairman and, if necessary, a revisor for the defense. The chairman must be a professor or equivalent or a teacher of equivalent rank.

The thesis director participates in the jury, but does not participate in the decision. When several establishments are authorized to jointly award the PhD degree, the jury is appointed by the managers of the establishments concerned under the conditions set by the agreement mentioned in article 5 of this decree.

## **Article 19**

The defense is public, unless it is exceptionally granted by the head of the establishment if the subject of the thesis has proven confidential content.

Before the defense, the thesis summary is distributed within the institution or institutions with joint accreditation.

As part of its deliberations, the jury assesses the quality of the PhD student's work, their innovative character, the PhD student's ability to place them in their scientific context as well as their display qualities. The jury may request corrections in accordance with article 24 of this decree. When the work corresponds to collective research, the personal contribution of each PhD student is assessed by a thesis that he writes and presents individually to the jury.

Exceptionally, and with the exception of its chairman, the members of the jury may participate in the defense by videoconference or any electronic communication means that allow their identification and effective participation in a collegial deliberation and meet the technical characteristics guaranteeing the continuous and simultaneous transmission of the debate.

Admission or adjournment is pronounced after deliberation by the jury.

The chairman signs the defense report, which is countersigned by all the members of the jury present at the defense.

The defense report is communicated to the doctorate in the month following the defense.

### **Title III: Joint guardianship theses**

#### **Article 20**

In order to develop the international dimension of the doctoral schools and the scientific cooperation between French and foreign research teams, and in order to promote the mobility of PhD students, a French higher education institution accredited to award the PhD degree may enter into a contract with one or more foreign higher education establishments, benefiting in their country from the same prerogatives, an agreement aiming to organize an international joint supervision of thesis.

The contracting establishments are connected by a principle of reciprocity.

When the rules applicable to the post-doctoral studies in the countries concerned are incompatible with each other, French institutions are authorized to derogate from the provisions of Title II of this order, under the conditions defined by the joint guardianship theses agreement.

#### **Article 21**

The agreement can be either a framework agreement accompanied, for each thesis by an application agreement, or an agreement concluded specifically for each thesis. The thesis directors and the PhD student sign the application agreement for the thesis concerned, or in the absence of a framework agreement, they sign the agreement concluded specifically for the thesis.

In addition to the mentions provided for in article D 613-19 of the education code concerning training methods and certification methods, in accordance with the quality requirements required by this decree, the agreement specifies the conditions of aboard work-study internships in the countries concerned. It determines the modalities of constitution of the jury and of material accompaniment, pedagogical and linguistic support of the students. It specifies in particular:

1. The title of the thesis, the name of the thesis director, the PhD student, the name of the contracting higher education institutions and the discipline of the degree prepared;
2. The language in which the thesis will be written; when this language is not French, the drafting is completed by a substantial summary in French;
3. The modalities of recognized training activities carried out in either or both higher education establishments;
4. The terms of payment of tuition fees in accordance with the pedagogical provisions adopted, to enable the doctoral student not to pay tuition fees in several establishments simultaneously;
5. Social security coverage conditions as well as accommodation conditions and financial aid from which the doctoral student can benefit to ensure his mobility.

## **Article 22**

The PhD student will carry out his work under the responsibility, in each of the countries concerned, of a thesis director who exercises his supervisory functions in collaboration with the other thesis director (s).

## **Article 23**

The thesis must include only one oral defense. In accordance with the provisions of article 19 of this decree, the president must sign the defense report countersigned by the members of the jury.

The doctoral degree (s) are issued by the academic authorities empowered to do so, on the conforming proposal of the jury, after the thesis defense.

By way of derogation from the provisions provided for in Title IV of this decree, the methods the subject protection, filling a report and reproduction of theses, as well as those for the management of research results common to the laboratories involved, their publication and their exploitation, are stopped in accordance with the specific legislation of each country involved in the preparation of the thesis and specified by the agreement mentioned in article 20 of this order.

## **Title IV: DEPOSIT, REPORTING, DISSEMINATION AND CONSERVATION OF THESES OR WORK PRESENTED**

### **Article 24**

The PhD student engaged in the preparation of a doctoral thesis must submit his manuscript one month before the date scheduled for the defense to the department responsible for the doctorate at his registered higher education institution.

The PhD student must provide his thesis in a digital form according to the prescriptions of the defense institution. He must also provide hard copies for the members of the jury, when it is requested. The institution therefore prints the thesis from the digital form submitted.

The defense is conditional on the delivery to the chairman of the jury by the service responsible for the doctorate of a thesis submission certificate and the completed electronic form, with the assistance of the service responsible for the doctorate and common documentation service or service documentary cooperation between establishments or libraries, including a summary in French and a summary in English as well as a list of key words. It includes in particular the metadata necessary for the description, management, dissemination and archiving of the thesis, in accordance with the national TEF recommendation (French electronic theses).

If the jury has requested corrections to be made to the thesis, the new doctor has three months to submit his corrected thesis in an electronic form.

## **Article 25**

The institution where the defense takes place submits the validated version of the thesis in its diffusion and archiving formats, in an electronic form, in the national Star application, managed by the bibliographic agency for higher education, which performs the following functions:

1. Registration of the dissemination version and the archiving version of the thesis as well as its metadata;
2. Report in the Sudoc catalog;
3. Attribution of a permanent identifier;
4. Sending the archived version, even in the case of a thesis that cannot be disseminated, to the National Computer Center for Higher Education;
5. if necessary, at the institution's request, sending metadata or the manuscript version of the thesis to the sites designated by it.

Unless the thesis is proven to be confidential, its dissemination is ensured in the defense establishment and throughout the university community. The online dissemination of the thesis beyond these rules is subject to the authorization of its author, conditioned to the absence of a confidentiality clause.

## **Title V: TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS**

### **Article 26**

Doctoral schools accredited on the date of entry supported by the force of this decree remain so until the next wave of accreditations from the institution in which they are established.

### **Article 27**

The provisions of article 19 of the decree of July 5, 1984 relating to doctoral studies and the decree of December 4, 1984 setting the transitional measures relating to enrollment in state doctorates are repealed as of September 1, 2018.

### **Article 28**

Modified the following provisions:

- Repeals Decree of September 3, 1998 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of September 3, 1998 - art. 1 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of September 3, 1998 - art. 2 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of September 3, 1998 - art. 3 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of September 3, 1998 - art. 4 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of September 3, 1998 - art. 5 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 1 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 10 (VT)

- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 11 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 12 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 13 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 2 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 3 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 4 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 5 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 6 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 7 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 8 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of January 6, 2005 - art. 9 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 (Ab)
- Repeals Order of August 7, 2006 - TITLE II: DOCTORATE. (VT)
- Repeals Order of August 7, 2006 - TITLE I: DOCTORAL SCHOOLS. (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 1 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 10 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 11 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 12 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 13 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 14 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 15 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 16 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 17 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 18 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 19 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 2 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 20 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 21 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 22 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 23 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 24 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 25 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 3 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 4 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 5 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 6 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 7 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 8 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 9 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 (Ab)
- Repeals Order of August 7, 2006 - TITLE II: FILING ON PAPER MEDIA. (VT)
- Repeals Order of August 7, 2006 - TITLE III: DEPOSIT IN ELECTRONIC FORM. (VT)
- Repeals Order of August 7, 2006 - TITLE I: GENERAL PROVISIONS. (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 1 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 10 (VT)

- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 11 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 12 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 13 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 2 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 3 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 4 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 5 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 6 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 7 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 8 (VT)
- Repeals Decree of August 7, 2006 - art. 9 (VT)

## **Article 29**

This decree comes into force as of September 1, 2016.

## **Article 30**

The General Direction of Higher Education and Professional Integration is responsible for the execution of this decree, which will be published in the Official Journal of the French Republic.

Dated May 25, 2016.

The Minister of National Education, Higher Education and Research, Najat Vallaud-Belkacem

The Secretary of State in charge of higher education and research, Thierry Mandon